

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,639	10/12/2000	Arnaud Hory	HORY 2.PCT/U 8500		
466	7590 12/05/2001				
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR			EXAMINER		
	N, VA 22202	LOOK	FIORILLA, CH	FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	8	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/622,639	HORY ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	· ·			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment		- Friend and 00 0.0.0. 33 120	MIN.VI 121.		
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra	demark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/622,639

Art Unit: 1731

1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Use of a narrower range within a broader range in the same claim renders the claim indefinite since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. One could not tell from such a claim if the narrower range or limitation is a restriction or limitation on the broader range or limitation. For this reason, the phrase "a powder or mixture of powders, particularly ceramic" (lines 2-3) renders claim 1 indefinite.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bourell et al. (5,382,308).
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Beaman et al. (5,053,090).
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/622,639

Art Unit: 1731

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourell et al. (5,382,308) in view of Beaman et al. (5,053,090).

Bourell et al. teaches the basic claimed process of forming a sintered body. The process disclosed by Bourell et al. includes the steps of:

obtaining digitized sections;

spreading a thin layer of heated powder;

bringing the layer of powder up to the sintering temperature via laser beam; and repeating the steps to produce a sintered object.

Note that Bourell et al. also discloses the use of a YAG laser.

Determination of the specific temperatures would have been well within the realm of routine experimentation to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. These parameters would have obviously been selected to optimize the process conditions and/or the properties of the final product.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/622,639

Art Unit: 1731

Bourell et al. does not disclose mechanically compacting the layer. Beaman et al. discloses a selective sintering process wherein the layers are mechanically compacted prior to sintering in order to obtain a high bulk density. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the mechanical compacting of Beaman et al. in the process of Bourell et al. to perfect the process.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm, but works a flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

caf

November 30, 2001